UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,292	11/03/2003	Phillip M. Gibbs	5490-000224/US/CPB	6515
27572 7590 09/21/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 PLOOMERED DIFFLE MILES MILES 202			EXAMINER	
			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,292	GIBBS, PHILLIP M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anu Ramana	3775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the practice of the condition o	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16,18-21,23,24,26-29 and 31-35 is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8-16,18-21,23,24,26-29 and 3 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. 31-35 is/are allowed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/700,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 3775

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 10, 2009 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, it is unclear whether the "different distance" is measured from the apex of the trial bearing liner or the apex of the trial shell. It is suggested that the point of reference for measurement of the distance of each of the trial bearing liner attachment passages and each of the trial shell attachment passages be clearly stated in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/700,292

Art Unit: 3775

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolesky et al. (US 5,002,577).

Bolesky et al. disclose a system including: a bearing or "trial bearing liner" 14; an adapter or "lock ring" 24; and an attachment member 32 that is engageable with a bore or hole 30 in the acetabular prosthesis or cup or "trial shell" 12 (Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 26-68, and cols. 4-5).

Regarding claim 7, the various attachment passages or holes 30 and 40 in each of the trial shell 12 and the trial bearing liner 14 are offset a different distance from an apex of the trial shell or the trial bearing liner (Fig. 3).

It is noted that the recitation "trial bearing liner" and "trial shell" amounts to intended use. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 10, 2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive. New grounds of rejection have been made in this action.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-16, 18-21, 23-24, 26-29, and 31-35 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/700,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 3775

4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Barrett can be reached at (571) 272-4746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR September 13, 2009

/Anu Ramana/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3775